



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/917,044 08/19/97 FEHN

G C37-129A

IM52/0525

EXAMINER

NOLD, C

EUGENE F FRIEDMAN  
FRIEDMAN & FRIEDMAN LTD  
MONADNOCK BUILDING SUITE 1633  
53 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD  
CHICAGO IL 60604

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1772

DATE MAILED: 05/25/99

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# Office Action Summary

Application No.  
**08/917,044**

Applicant(s)

**Fehn**

Examiner

**Charles R. Nold**

Group Art Unit

**1772**



☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 11, 1999

☒ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

## Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 1-87 and 113-138 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) 1-22, 44-87, and 113-138 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☒ Claim(s) 23-43 is/are allowed.

☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

## Application Papers

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some\* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been  
☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

## Attachment(s)

☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit:

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Election/Restriction***

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that because any of the recited chemical moieties may serve as a partial barrier to the migration of contaminants, the inventions are related. This is not found persuasive because just because the recited chemical moieties may serve as a partial barrier does not remove their patentable distinctness. If this were the test as applicant urges, any material laminated to a PCR film would properly be examinable in the same application. Applicant also argues that a search for one would appear to lie in the same class/subclass location as the others. This is incorrect, but this is not the definitive reason for requiring an election here. The reason here is because the laminate structures that applicant is claiming are all different.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

2. Claims 23-43 are allowed.
3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit:

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles R. Nold whose telephone number is (703) 308-4416.



**Charles R. Nold**  
**Primary Examiner**  
**Art Unit 1772**